IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

JODY BYRD, #236 552 *

Plaintiff, *

v. * 2:06-CV-1109-WKW (WO)

PHS MEDICAL DENTIST, et al., *

Defendants.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff, an inmate incarcerated at the Bullock Correctional Facility located in Union Springs, Alabama, files this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action complaining that he is being denied adequate dental care and treatment. Plaintiff names the Alabama Department of Corrections and Commissioner Richard Allen as defendants. Upon review of the complaint, the court concludes that dismissal of Plaintiff's claims against the Alabama Department of Corrections and Commissioner Allen prior to service of process is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).¹

¹A prisoner who is allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this court will have his complaint screened in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). This screening procedure requires the court to dismiss a prisoner's civil action prior to service of process if it determines that the complaint is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary damages from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii).

I. DISCUSSION

A. The Alabama Department of Corrections

The Alabama Department of Corrections is not subject to suit or liability under § 1983. The Eleventh Amendment bars suit directly against a state or its agencies, regardless of the nature of relief sought. *Pennhurst State School & Hosp. v. Halderman*, 465 U.S. 89 (1984). In light of the foregoing, the court concludes that Plaintiff's claims against the Alabama Department of Corrections are due to be dismissed. *Id*.

B. Commissioner Allen

The complaint names Commissioner Allen as a defendant in these proceedings. Plaintiff does not assert specific allegations against Allen in his complaint. At most, Plaintiff's claim is that Allen, as Commissioner for the Alabama Department of Corrections, is liable for the conduct of the dentist who allegedly provided inadequate dental care to Plaintiff. The law is settled that there is no *respondeat superior* liability for a § 1983 claim. *See Monell v. Dep't of Social Servs.*, 436 U.S. 658, 691 (1978); *Marsh v. Butler County*, 268 F.3d 1014, 1035 (11th Cir.2001) (*en banc*). Accordingly, Plaintiff's claims against Commissioner Allen are due to be dismissed.

II. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that:

1. Plaintiff's claims against the Alabama Department of Corrections and Commissioner Allen be DISMISSED with prejudice prior to service of process pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B);

- 2. The Alabama Department of Corrections and Commissioner Allen be DISMISSED as parties to this complaint; and
- 3. This case with respect to the remaining defendant be referred back to the undersigned for additional proceedings.

It is further

ORDERED that the parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the said Recommendation on or before **February 12, 2007**. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which a party objects. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the Magistrate Judge's report shall bar the party from a *de novo* determination by the District Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). *See Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). *See also Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981) (*en banc*), adopting as binding precedent all of the decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on September 30, 1981.

Done, this 31st day of January 2007.

/s/ Terry F. Moorer TERRY F. MOORER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE